feet of water. A stream of eight sedond feet will flow during the month of October approximately 491.78 acre feet of mater. which undoubtedly is ample to give these lands one irrigation. The court does not wish to substitute its judgment for that of the witnesses by holding that neither of the parties to this controversy can use water for a beneficial purpose in the irrigation of lands during the mouth of Movember, and therefore holds that the defendants have put to a beneficial use eight second feet of water during the month of November and that such amount can be beneficially used by the defendants on the non-spheduled grass lands during the month of Hovember. Doubtless the scheduled grass lands can be properly irrigated by the waters released from service on the farm lands during the menth of October and they need not be considered as needing any irrigation in the month of November. In coming to the conclusion as to the Hovember water there is no question but that it can be used to a much better agavantage upon the lands of the defendants than it could be upon the lands safe proposed by the plaintiff, and there is still left available for the plaintiff during the month of Hovember 7.75 second feet should he desire to use the same.

Upon the question of the water evailable from Currant Creek for the irrigation of the Joshen and other lands in that vicinity there is little or no evidence that there is any permanent supply except that which has been used by the defendants. There is some evidence that there might be some water in the early season available from Kimball Creek, but upon the question of when the run off courses, the amount if any that resches the Goshen Reservoir.

After an existence which whether or not the same is claimed by others, the evidence, and for the court to make a finding upon this matter would be speculation, and the defendants are making no claim to such waters if there be any available.

The only other source from which there is any likelihood of being any perceptable increase is in the East channel of Job creek. When the project was first visited the was considerable water r nning